## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the District of Alaska

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA vs.	)	Case Number: 3:-18-mj-00474-DMS	
<b>v</b> 5,	)		
PETER WILSON	j		
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
Part I - Eligibility for Detention			
Upon the			
Motion of the Government attorney	pursuant to 18	U.S.C. $\S 3142(f)(1)$ , or	
☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),			
Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)			
fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.	.C. § 3142(i), i	rranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of n addition to any other findings made at the hearing.  Presumptions under § 3142(e)	
	of conditions	142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable will reasonably assure the safety of any other personeen met:	
$\square$ (1) the defendant is charged with one	of the following	ng crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):	
		C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or	
(b) an offense for which the max	cimum sentenc	e is life imprisonment or death; or	
Controlled Substances Act (21 U	J.S.C. §§ 801	nprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the -904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or	
(a) through (c) of this paragrap	h, or two or aphs (a) throug	d of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs more State or local offenses that would have been gh (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise nation of such offenses; or	
	ructive device	of violence but involves: (i) a minor victim; (ii) the e (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and	

Page 2 of 4

DEFENDANT: PETER WILSON CASE NUMBER: 3:-18-mj-00474-DMS
(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and
(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.
B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.

Page 3 of 4

DEFENDANT: PETER WILSON CASE NUMBER: 3:-18-mj-00474-DMS

CASE NUMBER: 3:-18-mj-00474-DMS
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
☐ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
Prior criminal history
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
History of violence or use of weapons
☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse
Lack of stable employment
Lack of stable residence
☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties
☐ Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
☐ Significant family or other ties outside the United States
☐ Lack of legal status in the United States
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:
the defense coursel stipulated to detention

Page 4 of 4

**DEFENDANT: PETER WILSON** 

CASE NUMBER: 3:-18-mj-00474-DMS

## Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:9/18/2018

Deborah M. Smith, Chief United States Magistrate Judge